

**EXPERIMENTAL SITE WIND AVAILABILITY
STUDY FOR
WONG CHUK HANG, HONG KONG**

**INVESTIGATION REPORT WWTF007-2010
February 2010**

**Submitted to
Department of Architecture,
The Chinese University of Hong Kong**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of the Department of Architecture, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, on behalf of Planning Department of The Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, a study of wind availability and characteristics for a nominated Study Area in Wong Chuk Hang was conducted by the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (WWTF) at The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, as part of the “Urban Climate Map and Standards for Wind Environment – Feasibility Study”. The study was undertaken in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the Australasian Wind Engineering Society Quality Assurance Manual, AWES-QAM-1-2001 (2001) and the American Society of Civil Engineers Manual and Report on Engineering Practice No. 67 for Wind Tunnel Studies of Buildings and Structures (1999). The study was also conducted in accordance with the recommendations of Planning Department’s Feasibility Study for Establishment of Air Ventilation Assessment System – Final Report (2005) and Technical Guide for Air Ventilation Assessment for Developments in Hong Kong (2006).

A 1:2000 scale topography study was undertaken to determine the effects of local topography and the surrounding urban environment on mean wind direction, mean wind speed and turbulence intensity at a nominated Study Area in Wong Chuk Hang.

A miniature dynamic pressure (Cobra) probe was used to take measurements of the longitudinal, lateral and vertical directions components of wind speed, at 22.5° increments for the full 360° azimuth, i.e. for sixteen (16) wind directions, and at nine (9) elevations to determine profiles of mean wind speed and turbulence intensity above the Study Area. The results will be used as input boundary conditions for subsequent detailed benchmarking studies. The 1:2000 scale topographical model included the surrounding area up to a distance of up to approximately 10 km from the Study Area.

The topography study results were combined with WWTF’s statistical model of the Hong Kong non-typhoon wind climate, based on measurements of non-typhoon winds taken by Hong Kong Observatory at Waglan Island during the period of 1953 – 2006

inclusive, to determine wind roses corresponding to annual and summer mean wind speeds at the Study Area.

In general, the annual and summer prevailing wind characteristics corresponding to non-typhoon winds at an elevation of 500 mPD above the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area were similar to the overall characteristics of non-typhoon winds approaching the Hong Kong region, although the magnitudes of the directional wind speeds were reduced.

Significant reductions in the measured magnitudes of wind speed were mainly caused by the mountains surrounding the Study Area and nearby built-up areas. The valley and the channel features significantly affected the directional characteristics for wind directions of 45°, 135°, 157.5°, 180°, 225°, 247.5° and 337.5°. Upper level winds approaching from the 157.5°, 180°, 247.5° and 270° i.e. directions approximately aligned with Po Chong Wan and Shek Pai Wan., were the least affected due to the exposures to wind flow above the water channels.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 9 |
| 2 | ANALYSIS OF THE HONG KONG WIND CLIMATE | 10 |
| 3 | WIND TUNNEL STUDY | 13 |
| 3.1 | Modelling the Natural Wind | 13 |
| 3.2 | Physical Model of the Study Area | 16 |
| 3.3 | Experimental and Analysis Procedures | 17 |
| 4 | EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 18 |
| 4.1 | Wind characteristics of the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area | 19 |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS | 22 |
| 6 | REFERENCES | 24 |
| | APPENDIX A TABULATED RESULTS FOR WONG CHUK HANG | 58 |
| | APPENDIX B AXIS SYSTEM OF THE COBRA PROBE | 68 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 1: | Wong Chuk Hang Study Area | 29 |
| Figure 2: | Location of the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area | 30 |
| Figure 3: | Wind rose for annual non-typhoon winds, Waglan Island, corrected to 500 m, 1953-2000 | 31 |
| Figure 4: | Wind rose for summer non-typhoon winds, Waglan Island corrected to 500 m, 1953-2000 | 32 |
| Figure 5: | Wind tunnel test sections at the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility | 33 |
| Figure 6: | Simulated mean wind speed and turbulence intensity profiles of approach wind | 34 |
| Figure 7: | Longitudinal turbulence spectrum of approach wind | 34 |
| Figure 8: | 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (north wind direction, 360°) | 35 |
| Figure 9: | 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (east wind direction, 90°) | 35 |
| Figure 10: | 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (south wind direction, 180°) | 36 |
| Figure 11: | 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (west wind direction, 270°) | 36 |
| Figure 12a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 22.5° | 37 |
| Figure 12b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 22.5° | 37 |
| Figure 13a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 45° | 38 |
| Figure 13b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 45° | 38 |
| Figure 14a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 67.5° | 39 |
| Figure 14b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 67.5° | 39 |
| Figure 15a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 90° | 40 |
| Figure 15b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 90° | 40 |
| Figure 16a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 112.5° | 41 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 16b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 112.5° | 41 |
| Figure 17a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 135° | 42 |
| Figure 17b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 135° | 42 |
| Figure 18a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 157.5° | 43 |
| Figure 18b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 157.5° | 43 |
| Figure 19a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 180° | 44 |
| Figure 19b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 180° | 44 |
| Figure 20a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 202.5° | 45 |
| Figure 20b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 202.5° | 45 |
| Figure 21a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 225° | 46 |
| Figure 21b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 225° | 46 |
| Figure 22a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 247.5° | 47 |
| Figure 22b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 247.5° | 47 |
| Figure 23a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 270° | 48 |
| Figure 23b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 270° | 48 |
| Figure 24a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 292.5° | 49 |
| Figure 24b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 292.5° | 49 |
| Figure 25a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 315° | 50 |
| Figure 25b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 315° | 50 |
| Figure 26a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 337.5° | 51 |
| Figure 26b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 337.5° | 51 |
| Figure 27a: | Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 360° | 52 |
| Figure 27b: | Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 360° | 52 |
| Figure 28: | Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 50 m | 53 |
| Figure 29: | Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 100 m | 54 |
| Figure 30: | Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 200 m | 55 |
| Figure 31: | Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 500 m | 56 |
| Figure 32: | Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 50 m | 57 |

| | | |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 33: | Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 100 m | 58 |
| Figure 34: | Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 200 m | 59 |
| Figure 35: | Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 500 m | 60 |
| Figure C1: | (a) Flow axis system with respect to the Cobra Probe head, (b) Positive flow pitch and yaw angles | 72 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table A1: Site wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 22.5° | 58 |
| Table A2 Site wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 45° | 58 |
| Table A3: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 67.5° | 58 |
| Table A4: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 90° | 59 |
| Table A5: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 112.5° | 59 |
| Table A6: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 135° | 59 |
| Table A7: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 157.5° | 60 |
| Table A8: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 180° | 60 |
| Table A9: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 202.5° | 60 |
| Table A10: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 225° | 61 |
| Table A11: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 247.5° | 61 |
| Table A12: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 270° | 61 |
| Table A13: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 292.5° | 62 |
| Table A14: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 315° | 62 |
| Table A15: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 337.5° | 62 |
| Table A16: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 360° | 63 |
| Table B1: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 50 mPD | 64 |
| Table B2: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 100 mPD | 64 |
| Table B3: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 200 mPD | 65 |
| Table B4: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 500 mPD | 65 |
| Table B5: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 50 mPD | 66 |
| Table B6: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 100 mPD | 66 |
| Table B7: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 200 mPD | 67 |
| Table B8: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 500 mPD | 67 |

1 INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Department of Architecture, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, on behalf of Planning Department of The Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, a study of wind availability and characteristics was conducted by the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (WWTF) at The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology for a nominated Study Area in Wong Chuk Hang, as part of the “Urban Climate Map and Standards for Wind Environment – Feasibility Study”. The study was undertaken in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the Australasian Wind Engineering Society Quality Assurance Manual, AWES-QAM-1-2001 (2001) and the American Society of Civil Engineers Manual and Report on Engineering Practice No. 67 for Wind Tunnel Studies of Buildings and Structures (1999). The study was also conducted in accordance with the recommendations of Planning Department’s Feasibility Study for Establishment of Air Ventilation Assessment System – Final Report (2005) and Technical Guide for Air Ventilation Assessment for Developments in Hong Kong (2006).

The Study Area of Wong Chuk Hang, centred at the south embankment of the Staunton Creek Nullah, has a diameter of approximately 1000 m, as shown in Figures 1 and 2. A 1:2000 scale topography study was undertaken to determine the effects of local topography and the surrounding urban environment on mean wind speeds and turbulence intensities at the Study Area. The topography study results were combined with WWTF’s statistical model of the Hong Kong non-typhoon wind climate, based on measurements of non-typhoon winds taken by Hong Kong Observatory at Waglan Island during the period of 1953 – 2006 inclusive, to determine site-specific annual and summer wind roses for hourly mean wind speeds.

2 ANALYSIS OF THE HONG KONG WIND CLIMATE

Waglan Island, located approximately 5 km southeast of Hong Kong Island, has been used by Hong Kong Observatory (HKO), formerly The Royal Observatory, Hong Kong, for the collection of long-term wind data since December 1952. Due to its location, relative lack of development over the past 50 years and its generally uninterrupted exposure to winds, data collected at Waglan Island is considered to be of the highest quality available for wind engineering purposes in Hong Kong and representative of winds approaching the Hong Kong region. Wind speed and direction measurements at Waglan Island are essentially free from the interference effects of nearby developments and they can be position corrected to account for the effects of the location and height of the anemometer stations and the effects of the surrounding topography and buildings.

Waglan Island wind records have been analysed previously in studies of the Hong Kong wind climate, most notably by Davenport et al. (1984), Melbourne (1984) and Hitchcock et al. (2003). Melbourne (1984) conducted wind tunnel model studies to determine directional factors relating wind speeds at each anemometer location to the wind speed at an elevation equivalent to 50 mPD in the free stream flow and concluded that:

- Measurements taken during the period 1 January 1964 to 11 July 1966 inclusive were directly and adversely affected by the effects of the building on which it was mounted; therefore, records from that period were excluded from that study.

- The anemometer correction factors for mean wind speeds show some sensitivity to the modelled approach flow but they are not strongly dependent on the modelled approach profiles.
- The largest magnitude speed-up effects occur for winds approaching from approximately 67.5°, 180°, 270° and 360°.
- The largest magnitude slow-down effects occur for winds approaching from approximately 112.5°, 225° and 315°.

In the study conducted by Hitchcock et al. (2003), wind tunnel tests were undertaken to correct wind records for position and topographical effects at the four anemometer locations used since 1952, with the exception of the location used during the period 1 January 1964 to 11 July 1966 inclusive. In that study, thermal (hotwire) anemometer measurements were taken at 22.5° intervals for the full 360° azimuth relating wind speeds at anemometer height to wind speeds at a height equivalent to 200 m in the free stream. The directional characteristics of the former anemometer sites were found to be similar to those discussed by Davenport et al. (1984) and Melbourne (1984), whereas the current anemometer site is much less affected than its predecessors, due mainly to its additional height.

Correction factors were determined and subsequently applied to non-typhoon wind data collected at Waglan Island to determine a probability distribution of directional mean wind speeds for Hong Kong. The corresponding annual wind rose for mean wind speeds at a height equivalent to 500 mPD above open water is presented in Figure 3 and indicates that, on an annual basis, prevailing and strong non-typhoon winds approaching Hong Kong occur mainly from the north-east quadrant and, to a

lesser extent, the south-west. The summer (i.e. June, July, August) wind rose for mean wind speeds at a elevation equivalent to 500 mPD above open water is presented in Figure 4. In contrast to the corresponding annual wind rose, prevailing and strong non-typhoon winds approaching Hong Kong during summer months occur mainly from the south-east and south-west quadrants.

In Figures 3 and 4, mean wind speeds are segregated into four categories (0 – 3.3 m/s, 3.4 – 7.9 m/s, 8.0 – 13.8 m/s and greater than 13.8 m/s) that are indicated by the thickness of the bars for the 16 cardinal wind directions. The length of the bars indicates the average percentage of occurrence per year. For example, Figure 3 illustrates that, on an annual basis, east winds occur approximately 24% of the time and hourly mean wind speeds exceed 13.8 m/s approximately 6% of the time at a height of 500 m.

3 WIND TUNNEL STUDY

The wind tunnel test techniques used in this investigation are in accordance with the procedures and recommendations of the Australasian Wind Engineering Society Quality Assurance Manual, AWES QAM-1-2001 (2001) and the American Society of Civil Engineers Manual and Report on Engineering Practice No. 67 for Wind Tunnel Studies of Buildings and Structures (1999). Those requirements cover the satisfactory modelling of the turbulent natural wind, the accuracy of the wind tunnel models, experimental and analysis procedures, and quality assurance.

3.1 Modelling the Natural Wind

Air moving relative to the Earth's surface has frictional forces imparted on it, which effectively cause it to be slowed down. These forces have a decreasing effect on airflow as the height above ground increases, generally resulting in mean wind speed increasing with height to a point where the effects of surface drag become negligible. In wind engineering, a convenient measure of the thickness of the atmospheric boundary layer is commonly referred to as the gradient height which will vary depending on the surrounding surface roughness over which the air will flow. Obstacles to air flow can vary from relatively large expanses of smooth, open water, to vegetation such as forests, built-up environments such as city centres, and large, rugged mountain ranges. The resulting gradient heights typically vary from several hundred metres to in excess of 1000 m.

Winds within the atmospheric boundary layer are also usually highly turbulent or gusty. Turbulence intensity is a measure of the gustiness of wind due to eddies and

vortices generated by frictional effects at surface level, the roughness of the terrain over which air is flowing and convective effects due to opposing movements of air masses of different temperature. In typical atmospheric boundary layer flow, turbulence intensity generally decreases with height. Closer to the ground, at pedestrian level for example, the magnitude of the turbulence intensity can be very large due to the effects of wind flowing around buildings and other structures.

In conducting wind tunnel model studies of wind characteristics and wind effects on and around tall buildings and other structures on the surface of the Earth, it is necessary to adequately simulate the relevant characteristics of atmospheric boundary layer flow. WWTF's boundary layer wind tunnel test sections can be used to simulate atmospheric boundary layer flow over various types of terrain, ranging from open terrain, such as open water, to urban or mountainous terrain.

WWTF comprises two long fetch boundary layer wind tunnel test sections as shown in Figure 5. The 28 m long high speed test section has a 3 m wide \times 2 m high working section and a maximum free stream wind speed of approximately 30 m/s. The 40 m long low speed test section has a 5 m wide \times 4 m high working section and a maximum free-stream wind speed of approximately 10 m/s. Various terrains can be modelled in either test section at length scales ranging from approximately 1:5000 to 1:50.

The characteristics of the wind flow in the low speed test section can be modified through the use of devices such as spires, grids, and fences to model various atmospheric boundary layer flows. For the current study, WWTF's low speed test section was calibrated by using various roughness elements to simulate the wind

speed and turbulence intensity characteristics corresponding to wind flow above open water. The mean wind speed profile of the wind flow approaching the Study Area was simulated in accordance with the power law expression, defined in Equation (1), specified in Planning Department's Feasibility Study for Establishment of Air Ventilation Assessment System – Final Report (2005).

$$\frac{V_{z,\text{open}}}{V_{\text{ref,open}}} = \left(\frac{z}{z_{\text{ref}}} \right)^\alpha \quad (1)$$

where

$V_{z,\text{open}}$ = mean wind speed at a height z above open water terrain (m/s);

$V_{\text{ref,open}}$ = mean wind speed at a height z_{ref} above open water terrain (m/s);

z = height above zero plane displacement height (m);

z_{ref} = a suitable reference height above open water terrain (m);

α = a power law exponent, which is a constant commensurate with the terrain roughness, taken as 0.15 for this study.

The turbulence intensity profile of the approaching wind flow was simulated in accordance with Terrain Category 2 stipulated in Australian/New Zealand Standard AS/NZS 1170.2:2002, i.e. corresponding to non-typhoon wind flow above rough open water surfaces.

The simulated mean wind speed and turbulence intensity profiles were generally within $\pm 10\%$ of the target mean speed and turbulence intensity profiles and they are presented in Figure 6. The spectrum of longitudinal turbulence of the approaching

wind flow measured at a height equivalent to 500 m in prototype scale is presented in Figure 7.

3.2 Physical Model of the Study Area

WWTF has a 1:2000 scale topographical model of the New Territories, Kowloon and Hong Kong Island fabricated at 20 m contour intervals from information acquired from the Survey and Mapping Office of The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) Lands Department. The relevant sections of the topographical model were updated to include all known current buildings and the major topographical features in the urban landscapes of Hong Kong Island, Kowloon Peninsula and the New Territories. For all wind directions tested, the wind tunnel model included surrounding areas within a distance of up to approximately 10 km from the Study Area.

The topographical model was updated to include greater detail within a zone from 500 m up to approximately 1000 m from the Study Area. In accordance with information supplied by the Department of Architecture of The Chinese University of Hong Kong during the period between 8 January 2010 to 22 January 2010, all specified committed developments and all known existing buildings and structures at the time of testing were included in the model to represent their effects on wind flow approaching the Study Area. Beyond the 1000 m radius, the topographical model included roughness representative of the surrounding areas. Representative views of the 1:2000 scale topographical model used in the current study is shown in Figures 8 to 11 inclusive.

3.3 Experimental and Analysis Procedures

The terrain surrounding the Study Area comprises complex mixtures of open water, urban and built-up environment, and mountainous areas on Hong Kong Island and Kowloon Peninsula. Winds approaching the modelled region were scaled to simulate non-typhoon winds flowing over open water and the topographical model was used to determine the modifying effects of the surrounding complex terrain on the wind speed and turbulence intensity above the Study Area.

Wind tunnel measurements were taken using a miniature dynamic pressure probe, a Cobra probe manufactured by Turbulent Flow Instrumentation Pty Ltd, at 22.5° intervals for the full 360° azimuth (i.e. 16 wind directions), where a wind direction of 0° or 360° corresponds to an incident wind approaching the Study Area directly from the north, 90° corresponds to an incident wind approaching the Study Area directly from the east, etc. For each wind direction tested, mean wind speeds and turbulence intensities were measured at elevations equivalent to 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400 and 500 mPD in prototype scale, above the centre of the Study Area.

While measurements were taken at the Study Area, all buildings within a diameter of 1000 m of the centre of the Study Area were removed from the wind tunnel model for all measured wind directions. All buildings within the diameter of 1000 m will be included in the proximity model for the 1:400 scale detailed benchmarking study to directly account for their effects on the wind flow within the Study Area.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For each wind direction tested, results of the 1:2000 scale topography study are presented in graphical format in Figures 12 to 27 inclusive and in tabular format in Appendix A. In Figures 12a to 27a, the normalised wind characteristics include the measured mean resultant wind speed profiles and turbulence intensity profiles. Mean wind speed profiles were determined by normalising the local mean wind speeds with respect to the mean wind speed of the approaching wind flow measured at an elevation equivalent to 500 mPD, as defined in Equation (2). Vertical profiles of turbulence intensity, defined in Equation (3), are also presented in Figures 12a to 27a. Yaw and pitch angles, i.e. the lateral and vertical deviations, respectively, of the local mean wind direction relative to the approaching mean wind direction, are presented in Figures 12b to 27b inclusive. The sign conventions used to define yaw angles and pitch angles are provided in Appendix B.

$$\text{normalised mean wind speed} = \frac{V_{z,\text{site},i}}{V_{500,\text{open},i}} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{turbulence intensity} = \frac{\sigma_{z,\text{site},j}}{V_{z,\text{site},j}} \quad (3)$$

In Equations (2) and (3), $V_{z,\text{site},i}$ is the resultant mean wind speed above the centre of the Study Area at an elevation z , where $z = 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400$ or 500 mPD in prototype scale, for an approaching wind direction i , where $i = 22.5^\circ, 45^\circ, 67.5^\circ, 90^\circ, 112.5^\circ, 135^\circ, 157.5^\circ, 180^\circ, 202.5^\circ, 225^\circ, 247.5^\circ, 270^\circ, 292.5^\circ, 315^\circ, 337.5^\circ$ or 360° ; $V_{500,\text{open},i}$ is the resultant mean wind speed of the approaching wind at an elevation equivalent to 500 mPD in prototype scale for an approaching wind

direction, i ; and $\sigma_{z,\text{site},i}$ is the standard deviation of the fluctuating resultant wind speed above the site for an approaching wind direction i . The profiles of resultant mean wind speed and turbulence intensity will be used as input boundary conditions for the detailed benchmarking study for the Study Area.

The topography study measurements were also used to determine directional factors for the 16 measured wind directions, relating the mean wind speeds at elevations equivalent to 50, 100, 200 and 500 mPD above the Study Area to the mean wind speed of the approach flow at a reference height of 500 mPD. Those directional factors were then applied to WWTF's Hong Kong non-typhoon wind climate model, derived from HKO's Waglan Island wind data as discussed in Section 2 of this report, to determine site-specific wind roses pertaining to annual and summer hourly mean wind speeds at elevations of 50, 100, 200 and 500 mPD above the Study Area. The annual wind roses are presented in Figures 28 to 31 inclusive for elevations of 50, 100, 200 and 500 mPD above the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area, respectively. The summer wind roses are presented in Figures 32 to 35 inclusive for elevations of 50, 100, 200 and 500 mPD above the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area, respectively. Wind rose data are presented in tabular format in Appendix A.

4.1 Wind characteristics of the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area

The nominated Study Area in Wong Chuk Hang is located at the middle of a valley on the southern side of Hong Kong Island, with its nadir at Staunton Creek Nullah that is aligned approximately east to west. The Study Area is bounded by Mount Cameron in Aberdeen Country Park to the north and Brick Hill at Wong Chuk Hang to the south, with a number of mountains located further afield, including Mount Nicholson

at the Aberdeen Country Park to the north-east, Violet Hill at the Tai Tam Country Park to the east, Mount Johnston (also known as Yuk Kwai Shan) at Ap Lei Chau to the south-west and Mount Kellett at the Pok Fu Lam Country Park to the north-west. The Area also has waterfront exposures at the Aberdeen South typhoon shelter at Po Chong Wan to the south and the Aberdeen West typhoon shelter at Shek Pai Wan to the west.

Due to the effects of the surrounding mountains, the magnitudes of the measured upper level mean wind speeds were reduced for most wind directions. Reductions of 20% or more were measured at 500 mPD for winds from 45°, 90°, 112.5°, 292.5° and 337.5°. This is attributed to the effects of Mount Cameron and Mount Nicholson for winds from 45°, Violet Hill for winds from 90° and 112.5° and Mount Kellett for winds from 292.5° and 337.5°.

Upper level winds from directions of 0° (360°), 22.5°, 67.5°, 135°, 202.5°, 225° and 315° were less affected due to wind penetrations between mountains with lower elevations. The mean wind speeds measured at an elevation of 300 mPD and below for these directions were reduced significantly due to the surrounding mountains. The most significant effects of the topography were measured for winds from 225°, and in particular wind speeds measured at elevations below 200 mPD, which were likely to be caused by the combined effects of Yuk Kwai Shan at Ap Lei Chau and the closely spaced tall buildings at Ap Lei Chau.

Winds at a height of 300 mPD and above, from directions of 157.5°, 180°, 247.5° and 270° were the least affected due to the water channels at Po Chong Wan to the south

and Shek Pai Wan to the west. However, mean wind speeds measured at elevations of 200 mPD and below were reduced due to the effects of the topography adjacent to the waterfronts and the built-up areas along the side of Po Chong Wan and Shek Pai Wan.

Significant yaw angles, i.e. exceeding $\pm 11.25^\circ$, were measured at elevations of 200 mPD and below for wind directions of 45° and 337.5° , and at 100 mPD and below for 180° , where the wind flows were affected by the surrounding mountains. For those directions the winds tended to flow in a direction that was approximately aligned with the valley. A similar effect was also observed for winds from 135° which tend to flow in a direction that is approximately aligned with Po Chong Wan. Yaw angles slightly exceeding $\pm 11.25^\circ$ were measured at several heights for wind directions of 157.5° , 225° and 247.5° .

A comparison of the annual and summer wind roses for Waglan Island presented in Figures 3 and 4 to those for the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area in Figures 31 and 35 illustrates that the overall directional distribution of the upper level wind speed is not significantly changed, although the magnitudes of the wind speeds are reduced.

The annual and summer wind roses corresponding to elevations of 50, 100 and 200 mPD above the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area in Figures 28, 29, 30, 32, 33 and 34 demonstrates further reductions in the overall magnitudes of wind speed. The yaw angles measured for wind directions of 45° , 135° , 157.5° , 180° , 225° , 247.5° and 337.5° inclusive are largely responsible for the significant changes to the directional characteristics of the windroses at various lower elevations.

5 CONCLUSIONS

A study of wind availability and characteristics was conducted by the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility at The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology for the nominated Study Area in Wong Chuk Hang as part of the “Urban Climate Map and Standards for Wind Environment – Feasibility Study”. The study was conducted at the request of the Department of Architecture, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, on behalf of Planning Department of The Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

A 1:2000 scale topography study was undertaken to determine the effects of local topography and the surrounding urban environment on mean wind speeds and turbulence intensities above the Study Area. The topography study results were subsequently combined with a statistical model of the Hong Kong wind climate, based on measurements of non-typhoon winds taken by Hong Kong Observatory at Waglan Island, to determine directional wind characteristics and availability for the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area.

In general, the annual and summer prevailing wind characteristics corresponding to non-typhoon winds at an elevation of 500 mPD above the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area were similar to the overall characteristics of non-typhoon winds approaching the Hong Kong region, although the magnitudes of the directional wind speeds were reduced.

Significant reductions in the measured magnitudes of wind speed were mainly caused by the mountains surrounding the Study Area and nearby built-up areas. The valley

and the channel features significantly affected the directional characteristics for wind directions of 45°, 135°, 157.5°, 180°, 225°, 247.5° and 337.5°. Upper level winds approaching from the 157.5°, 180°, 247.5° and 270° i.e. directions approximately aligned with Po Chong Wan and Shek Pai Wan., were the least affected due to the exposures to wind flow above the water channels.

6 REFERENCES

Australasian Wind Engineering Society (2001), Wind Engineering Studies of Buildings, AWES-QAM-1-2001.

Buildings Department (HKSAR) (2004), Code of Practice on Wind Effects in Hong Kong.

Davenport, A.G., Georgiou, P.N., Mikić, M., Surry, D. and Kythe, G. (1984), The wind climate of Hong Kong, Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Tall Buildings, Hong Kong and Guangzhou, pp 454 – 460.

Hitchcock, P.A., Kwok, K.C.S. and Yu, C.W. (2003), A study of anemometer measurements at Waglan Island, Hong Kong, Technical Report WWTF002-2003, CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

Manual of practice for wind tunnel studies of buildings and structures (1999), Editor Nicholas Isyumov, Task Committee on Wind Tunnel Testing of Buildings and Structures, Aerodynamics Committee, Aerospace Division, American Society of Civil Engineers.

Melbourne, W.H. (1984), Design wind data for Hong Kong and surrounding coastline, Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Tall Buildings, Hong Kong and Guangzhou, pp 461 – 467.

Planning Department, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2005), Feasibility Study for Establishment of Air Ventilation Assessment – Final Report, Department of Architecture, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Planning Department, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2006), Technical Guide for Air Ventilation Assessment for Developments in Hong Kong.

Planning Department, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2006), Urban Climatic Map and Standards for Wind Environment-Feasibility Study (Inception Report), The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Planning Department, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2006), Urban Climatic Map and Standards for Wind Environment-Feasibility Study (Working Paper 2A: Methodologies of Area Selection for Benchmarking), The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand (2002), Australia/New Zealand Standard Structural design actions Part 2: Wind actions, AS/NZS 1170.2:2002.




Figure 1: Wong Chuk Hang Study Area




Figure 2: Location of the Wong Chuk Hang Study Area




Figure 3: Wind rose for annual non-typhoon winds, Waglan Island, corrected to 500 mPD above open water, 1953-2006




Figure 4: Wind rose for summer non-typhoon winds, Waglan Island corrected to 500 mPD above open water, 1953-2006




Figure 5: Wind tunnel test sections at the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility




Figure 6: Simulated mean wind speed and turbulence intensity profiles of approach wind




Figure 7: Longitudinal turbulence spectrum of the approach wind



Figure 8: 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in the low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (north wind direction, 360°)



Figure 9: 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in the low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (east wind direction, 90°)



Figure 10: 1:2000 scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in the low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (south wind direction, 180°)



Figure 11: scale topographical model of Wong Chuk Hang in the low speed test section of the CLP Power Wind/Wave Tunnel Facility (west wind direction, 270°)




Figure 12a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 22.5°




Figure 12b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 22.5°




Figure 13a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 45°




Figure 13b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 45°




Figure 14a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 67.5°




Figure 14b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 67.5°




Figure 15a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 90°




Figure 15b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 90°




Figure 16a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 112.5°




Figure 16b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 112.5°




Figure 17a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 135°




Figure 17b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 135°




Figure 18a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 157.5°




Figure 18b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 157.5°




Figure 19a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 180°




Figure 19b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 180°




Figure 20a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 202.5°




Figure 20b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 202.5°




Figure 21a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 225°




Figure 21b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 225°




Figure 22a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 247.5°




Figure 22b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 247.5°




Figure23a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 270°




Figure 23b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 270°




Figure 24a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 292.5°




Figure 24b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 292.5°




Figure 25a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 315°




Figure 25b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 315°




Figure 26a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 337.5°




Figure 26b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 337.5°




Figure 27a: Wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 360°




Figure 27b: Mean wind directions for Wong Chuk Hang, 360°




Figure 28: Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 50 mPD




Figure 29: Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 100 mPD




Figure 30: Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 200 mPD




Figure 31: Wind rose for annual, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 500 mPD




Figure 32: Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 50 mPD




Figure 33: Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 100 mPD




Figure 34: Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 200 mPD




Figure 35: Wind rose for summer, non-typhoon winds for Wong Chuk Hang, corrected to 500 mPD

APPENDIX A
TABULATED RESULTS FOR WONG CHUK HANG

Table A1: Site wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 22.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.37 | 31.8% | -5.5 | -4.9 |
| 50 | 0.41 | 30.8% | -8.8 | -3.8 |
| 75 | 0.46 | 29.1% | -10.8 | -2.9 |
| 100 | 0.50 | 28.2% | -11.9 | -1.5 |
| 150 | 0.57 | 25.7% | -11.7 | -0.6 |
| 200 | 0.61 | 23.4% | -11.2 | -0.6 |
| 300 | 0.68 | 20.7% | -9.4 | -1.1 |
| 400 | 0.75 | 17.5% | -7.3 | -1.7 |
| 500 | 0.83 | 13.6% | -6.1 | -2.2 |

Table A2 Site wind characteristics for Wong Chuk Hang, 45°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.58 | 21.2% | -3.1 | -34.3 |
| 50 | 0.62 | 20.5% | -4.6 | -33.6 |
| 75 | 0.63 | 21.0% | -5.5 | -32.1 |
| 100 | 0.63 | 22.1% | -6.2 | -30.4 |
| 150 | 0.60 | 24.9% | -7.7 | -24.6 |
| 200 | 0.58 | 27.2% | -9.0 | -19.3 |
| 300 | 0.59 | 28.0% | -9.4 | -7.8 |
| 400 | 0.69 | 24.9% | -8.7 | -2.2 |
| 500 | 0.79 | 19.5% | -7.5 | -0.8 |

Table A3: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 67.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.44 | 21.8% | -1.1 | -10.5 |
| 50 | 0.48 | 21.7% | -1.0 | -9.4 |
| 75 | 0.51 | 21.4% | -1.3 | -8.9 |
| 100 | 0.55 | 20.4% | -2.6 | -7.1 |
| 150 | 0.58 | 20.6% | -1.5 | -6.5 |
| 200 | 0.63 | 19.6% | -1.8 | -5.5 |
| 300 | 0.72 | 17.6% | -2.4 | -4.0 |
| 400 | 0.80 | 15.2% | -2.9 | -4.2 |
| 500 | 0.85 | 13.5% | -2.7 | -3.7 |

Table A4: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 90°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.39 | 26.6% | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 50 | 0.43 | 26.1% | 0.1 | -0.2 |
| 75 | 0.45 | 26.3% | 0.4 | -0.7 |
| 100 | 0.48 | 25.7% | 1.1 | -2.0 |
| 150 | 0.51 | 25.0% | 1.5 | -2.3 |
| 200 | 0.53 | 24.4% | 2.1 | -2.1 |
| 300 | 0.55 | 24.7% | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| 400 | 0.56 | 25.1% | 1.6 | 3.5 |
| 500 | 0.61 | 24.3% | 1.3 | 4.4 |

Table A5: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 112.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.44 | 23.0% | -1.4 | 3.7 |
| 50 | 0.50 | 22.0% | -2.5 | 4.5 |
| 75 | 0.53 | 20.9% | -3.0 | 3.6 |
| 100 | 0.57 | 19.9% | -3.6 | 2.7 |
| 150 | 0.62 | 18.4% | -4.0 | 1.0 |
| 200 | 0.66 | 17.8% | -4.0 | -0.4 |
| 300 | 0.70 | 16.9% | -3.5 | -1.5 |
| 400 | 0.73 | 16.3% | -2.6 | -1.7 |
| 500 | 0.76 | 15.8% | -2.0 | -2.0 |

Table A6: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 135°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.29 | 37.1% | -1.3 | -17.4 |
| 50 | 0.31 | 37.3% | -4.5 | -17.3 |
| 75 | 0.36 | 37.1% | -7.0 | -16.2 |
| 100 | 0.39 | 36.8% | -7.4 | -14.8 |
| 150 | 0.46 | 34.5% | -7.5 | -10.9 |
| 200 | 0.53 | 31.1% | -6.3 | -8.1 |
| 300 | 0.66 | 23.4% | -4.8 | -3.2 |
| 400 | 0.78 | 15.2% | -2.4 | -1.9 |
| 500 | 0.85 | 9.6% | -0.8 | -2.3 |

Table A7: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 157.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.29 | 34.3% | -3.7 | -9.9 |
| 50 | 0.33 | 34.0% | -5.1 | -10.9 |
| 75 | 0.40 | 31.4% | -6.3 | -11.3 |
| 100 | 0.46 | 28.3% | -5.7 | -12.3 |
| 150 | 0.60 | 20.7% | -3.6 | -12.4 |
| 200 | 0.71 | 14.1% | -2.1 | -10.5 |
| 300 | 0.83 | 7.5% | -1.0 | -8.0 |
| 400 | 0.88 | 5.9% | -0.6 | -7.1 |
| 500 | 0.90 | 5.4% | -0.1 | -6.5 |

Table A8: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 180°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.39 | 24.5% | -1.3 | -14.6 |
| 50 | 0.45 | 22.6% | -0.7 | -15.1 |
| 75 | 0.52 | 20.7% | -0.1 | -12.9 |
| 100 | 0.58 | 17.6% | 0.6 | -11.7 |
| 150 | 0.68 | 13.7% | 1.3 | -9.8 |
| 200 | 0.76 | 9.7% | 1.6 | -8.7 |
| 300 | 0.83 | 7.2% | 1.6 | -6.9 |
| 400 | 0.88 | 6.1% | 1.3 | -6.1 |
| 500 | 0.92 | 5.4% | 1.5 | -5.6 |

Table A9: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 202.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.47 | 19.8% | -1.4 | -6.7 |
| 50 | 0.52 | 18.4% | -1.2 | -4.8 |
| 75 | 0.56 | 17.3% | -1.2 | -3.5 |
| 100 | 0.59 | 17.0% | -0.9 | -3.5 |
| 150 | 0.64 | 15.6% | -0.9 | -3.7 |
| 200 | 0.68 | 14.7% | -0.6 | -4.0 |
| 300 | 0.75 | 12.1% | 0.1 | -3.1 |
| 400 | 0.79 | 10.9% | 0.7 | -2.4 |
| 500 | 0.84 | 9.8% | 1.1 | -1.5 |

Table A10: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 225°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.35 | 28.4% | 3.4 | 8.8 |
| 50 | 0.36 | 28.5% | 8.0 | 6.5 |
| 75 | 0.36 | 28.7% | 10.5 | 0.2 |
| 100 | 0.35 | 28.5% | 12.8 | -4.8 |
| 150 | 0.35 | 28.8% | 12.5 | -12.2 |
| 200 | 0.39 | 30.6% | 9.4 | -10.8 |
| 300 | 0.56 | 26.6% | 3.1 | -4.2 |
| 400 | 0.79 | 14.6% | 1.3 | -2.8 |
| 500 | 0.90 | 7.2% | 0.8 | -2.4 |

Table A11: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 247.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.45 | 19.6% | 0.1 | -11.8 |
| 50 | 0.48 | 19.0% | 1.3 | -10.8 |
| 75 | 0.50 | 18.9% | 1.3 | -8.6 |
| 100 | 0.51 | 18.9% | 1.2 | -6.7 |
| 150 | 0.56 | 18.6% | 0.9 | -4.3 |
| 200 | 0.65 | 16.7% | 0.2 | -4.0 |
| 300 | 0.82 | 9.9% | -0.3 | -5.2 |
| 400 | 0.88 | 7.0% | -0.3 | -5.8 |
| 500 | 0.92 | 5.8% | -0.3 | -5.8 |

Table A12: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 270°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.45 | 22.3% | -1.9 | 9.3 |
| 50 | 0.48 | 22.5% | -1.7 | 7.6 |
| 75 | 0.51 | 22.8% | -2.2 | 5.1 |
| 100 | 0.52 | 23.3% | -2.5 | 3.0 |
| 150 | 0.58 | 22.3% | -2.4 | -0.2 |
| 200 | 0.66 | 18.8% | -2.1 | -1.3 |
| 300 | 0.82 | 11.0% | -1.6 | -2.6 |
| 400 | 0.89 | 6.8% | -1.4 | -3.1 |
| 500 | 0.93 | 5.5% | -1.4 | -3.5 |

Table A13: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 292.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.32 | 34.9% | -2.0 | 3.5 |
| 50 | 0.34 | 35.4% | -2.7 | 3.1 |
| 75 | 0.37 | 35.4% | -3.5 | 2.8 |
| 100 | 0.37 | 35.6% | -2.7 | 2.2 |
| 150 | 0.45 | 33.9% | -3.5 | 2.8 |
| 200 | 0.51 | 32.2% | -3.3 | 3.2 |
| 300 | 0.59 | 28.7% | -2.2 | 1.3 |
| 400 | 0.67 | 25.2% | -3.5 | -2.1 |
| 500 | 0.77 | 19.6% | -3.9 | -5.0 |

Table A14: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 315°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.42 | 27.4% | -4.9 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 0.47 | 26.1% | -6.2 | -0.1 |
| 75 | 0.50 | 25.0% | -6.5 | -0.1 |
| 100 | 0.53 | 24.7% | -7.2 | 0.0 |
| 150 | 0.58 | 23.5% | -7.7 | -0.8 |
| 200 | 0.61 | 23.0% | -7.4 | -1.3 |
| 300 | 0.69 | 20.9% | -7.0 | -3.2 |
| 400 | 0.77 | 17.9% | -6.5 | -4.6 |
| 500 | 0.84 | 15.2% | -6.1 | -6.2 |

Table A15: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 337.5°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalise mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.35 | 34.3% | -1.1 | 21.8 |
| 50 | 0.38 | 32.9% | -3.2 | 21.7 |
| 75 | 0.39 | 32.8% | -2.8 | 20.7 |
| 100 | 0.39 | 33.9% | -2.8 | 17.8 |
| 150 | 0.40 | 34.1% | -3.1 | 14.7 |
| 200 | 0.40 | 34.7% | -1.8 | 11.3 |
| 300 | 0.43 | 34.7% | -2.9 | 4.7 |
| 400 | 0.47 | 33.9% | -3.5 | -0.1 |
| 500 | 0.55 | 31.3% | -3.5 | -3.5 |

Table A16: Site wind characteristics of Wong Chuk Hang at 360°

| Prototype scale elevation (mPD) | Normalised mean wind speed | Turbulence intensity (%) | Pitch angle (°) | Yaw angle (°) |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 25 | 0.52 | 17.3% | -3.2 | -3.5 |
| 50 | 0.59 | 15.7% | -3.5 | -5.0 |
| 75 | 0.66 | 14.1% | -3.7 | -4.8 |
| 100 | 0.70 | 12.9% | -3.6 | -4.5 |
| 150 | 0.78 | 10.7% | -2.9 | -3.1 |
| 200 | 0.83 | 8.9% | -2.3 | -2.4 |
| 300 | 0.87 | 7.4% | -1.4 | -1.4 |
| 400 | 0.92 | 6.2% | -1.2 | -0.7 |
| 500 | 0.95 | 5.2% | -0.9 | -0.1 |

APPENDIX B
TABULATED WINDROSE DATA

Table B1: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 50 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | Total |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | |
| 0° | 9.7% | 2.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 12.1% |
| 22.5° | 6.4% | 1.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 8.3% |
| 45° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 67.5° | 8.4% | 15.1% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 24.0% |
| 90° | 9.6% | 13.4% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 23.4% |
| 112.5° | 3.2% | 1.6% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 135° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 157.5° | 5.8% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.1% |
| 180° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 202.5° | 5.1% | 2.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 7.4% |
| 225° | 3.9% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 247.5° | 1.6% | 1.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.2% |
| 270° | 1.6% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 292.5° | 0.9% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| 315° | 1.8% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 337.5° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table B2: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 100 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | Total |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | |
| 0° | 7.3% | 4.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 12.1% |
| 22.5° | 5.1% | 3.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 8.3% |
| 45° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 67.5° | 7.3% | 15.4% | 1.3% | 0.0% | 24.0% |
| 90° | 7.9% | 14.3% | 1.2% | 0.0% | 23.4% |
| 112.5° | 2.8% | 1.9% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 135° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 157.5° | 2.7% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.1% |
| 180° | 2.4% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.0% |
| 202.5° | 4.1% | 3.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 7.4% |
| 225° | 4.1% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 247.5° | 1.4% | 1.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.2% |
| 270° | 1.5% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 292.5° | 0.9% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| 315° | 1.7% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 337.5° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table B3: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 200 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | Total |
| 0° | 3.9% | 7.5% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 12.1% |
| 22.5° | 3.7% | 4.3% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 8.3% |
| 45° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 67.5° | 6.7% | 14.6% | 2.6% | 0.0% | 24.0% |
| 90° | 6.4% | 14.3% | 2.6% | 0.0% | 23.4% |
| 112.5° | 2.4% | 2.1% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 135° | 2.3% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3.1% |
| 157.5° | 1.6% | 1.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 3.0% |
| 180° | 1.8% | 2.2% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 4.3% |
| 202.5° | 1.3% | 1.6% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 3.1% |
| 225° | 3.7% | 1.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 247.5° | 1.0% | 2.1% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 3.2% |
| 270° | 1.2% | 1.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 292.5° | 0.8% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| 315° | 1.7% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 337.5° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table B4: Percentage occurrence for annual, non-typhoon directional winds at 500 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | Total |
| 0° | 1.8% | 5.5% | 4.4% | 0.4% | 12.1% |
| 22.5° | 2.1% | 5.2% | 0.9% | 0.1% | 8.3% |
| 45° | 2.0% | 5.8% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 8.8% |
| 67.5° | 1.7% | 6.9% | 6.2% | 0.4% | 15.1% |
| 90° | 5.1% | 13.5% | 4.8% | 0.0% | 23.4% |
| 112.5° | 2.0% | 2.2% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 135° | 1.5% | 1.3% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 3.1% |
| 157.5° | 1.2% | 1.5% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 3.0% |
| 180° | 1.4% | 2.3% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 4.3% |
| 202.5° | 1.0% | 1.7% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 3.1% |
| 225° | 0.9% | 2.9% | 1.1% | 0.0% | 4.9% |
| 247.5° | 0.6% | 1.7% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 3.2% |
| 270° | 0.8% | 1.3% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 292.5° | 0.6% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 1.0% |
| 315° | 0.5% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.6% |
| 337.5° | 0.9% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.5% |

Table B5: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 50 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | Total |
| 0° | 2.4% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 22.5° | 2.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 45° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 67.5° | 4.0% | 3.2% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 7.4% |
| 90° | 8.0% | 5.6% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 13.8% |
| 112.5° | 4.9% | 2.9% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 7.9% |
| 135° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 157.5° | 11.8% | 1.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 12.9% |
| 180° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 202.5° | 11.6% | 6.7% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 18.4% |
| 225° | 11.1% | 3.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 14.5% |
| 247.5° | 4.2% | 5.4% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 9.7% |
| 270° | 3.8% | 2.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| 292.5° | 1.8% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| 315° | 2.1% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.3% |
| 337.5° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table B6: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 100 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | Total |
| 0° | 2.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 22.5° | 1.9% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 45° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 67.5° | 3.7% | 3.4% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 7.4% |
| 90° | 6.9% | 6.5% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 13.8% |
| 112.5° | 4.1% | 3.5% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 7.9% |
| 135° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 157.5° | 5.3% | 1.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| 180° | 4.8% | 1.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.4% |
| 202.5° | 9.0% | 9.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 18.4% |
| 225° | 11.6% | 2.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 14.5% |
| 247.5° | 3.6% | 5.9% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 9.7% |
| 270° | 3.5% | 3.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| 292.5° | 1.8% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| 315° | 2.1% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.3% |
| 337.5° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table B7: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 200 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | Total |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | |
| 0° | 2.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 22.5° | 1.7% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 45° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 67.5° | 3.4% | 3.3% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 7.4% |
| 90° | 5.9% | 7.1% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 13.8% |
| 112.5° | 3.5% | 3.9% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 7.9% |
| 135° | 4.2% | 2.2% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| 157.5° | 2.9% | 3.2% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 6.4% |
| 180° | 3.6% | 5.6% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 10.1% |
| 202.5° | 3.0% | 4.8% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 8.3% |
| 225° | 10.4% | 4.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 14.5% |
| 247.5° | 2.3% | 6.7% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 9.7% |
| 270° | 2.5% | 3.7% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| 292.5° | 1.5% | 0.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| 315° | 2.0% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.3% |
| 337.5° | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Table B8: Percentage occurrence for summer, non-typhoon directional winds at 500 mPD

| Wind Angle | Percentage occurrence for wind speed ranges: | | | | Total |
|------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | $0 < u \leq 3.3$ m/s | $3.3 < u \leq 7.9$ m/s | $7.9 < u \leq 13.8$ m/s | $u > 13.8$ m/s | |
| 0° | 1.5% | 0.8% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 22.5° | 1.4% | 0.7% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 2.2% |
| 45° | 1.1% | 1.2% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 2.5% |
| 67.5° | 1.3% | 2.1% | 1.3% | 0.1% | 4.8% |
| 90° | 4.9% | 7.3% | 1.5% | 0.0% | 13.8% |
| 112.5° | 2.8% | 4.1% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 7.9% |
| 135° | 2.4% | 3.2% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 6.5% |
| 157.5° | 2.0% | 3.5% | 0.8% | 0.1% | 6.4% |
| 180° | 2.7% | 5.7% | 1.6% | 0.1% | 10.1% |
| 202.5° | 2.1% | 4.8% | 1.3% | 0.0% | 8.3% |
| 225° | 2.0% | 8.8% | 3.6% | 0.2% | 14.5% |
| 247.5° | 1.2% | 5.0% | 3.3% | 0.2% | 9.7% |
| 270° | 1.6% | 3.5% | 1.4% | 0.1% | 6.5% |
| 292.5° | 1.0% | 0.8% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 2.0% |
| 315° | 0.8% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 1.1% |
| 337.5° | 1.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.2% |

APPENDIX C
AXIS SYSTEM OF THE COBRA PROBE

The following figures show the standard axis system of the Cobra Probe:




Figure C1: (a) Flow axis system with respect to the Cobra Probe head
 (b) Positive flow pitch and yaw angles

Note: Yaw angle is technically 'azimuth' (rotation angle about the z-axis); Pitch angle is technically 'elevation' (the angle between the flow velocity vector V and the X-Y plane).